Abstract

This paper proposes a modified Gompertz model with a constant free term based on the classical Gompertz model. Different from the three-sum method for determining the parameters of classical Gompertz model, this paper construct an optimization problem with the help of nonlinear least squares method. Moreover, employing the Levenberg-Marquardt method and the MATLAB software, the numerical solution of the optimization problem is found. A numerical example is provided in this paper. Finally, this paper uses this model to forecast the consumption level of Chinese rural residents, and the results illustrate the modified Gompertz model provides accurate prediction.
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1 Introduction

The Gompertz curve was first proposed by Benjamin Gompertz who is a British mathematician and insurer when studying population mortality [1]. The Gompertz curve was first used by insurers to calculate the cost
of life insurance. The curve is characterized by a slower increase, then a faster growth, and finally the growth tends to be slower. It can be applied in some new products that have just come out, the growth of industrial production, the life cycle of products, the population growth in a certain period of time, and so on. Hongmei Zhao [2] used the Gompertz curve model to predict the development trend of China's automobile ownership in the next few decades by combination with per capita GDP and vehicle ownership. Xu Wang [3] shows that the supply of fire extinguishing agent in the fire meets the Gompertz curve based on the relationship between the successful fire fighting and the dose of fire extinguishing agent in the oil tank area. Ye et al. [4] used three curve models including the von Bertalanffy, the Gompertz and the Logistic to fit the growth curves of 60 pigeons which are randomly selected from 0 to 30 days old. The calculation results show that the Gompertz curve has a high degree of fit than other models. Wang et al. [5] took the beef cattle industry as an example to examine the development stage and spatial differentiation characteristics of the beef industry from the national and provincial scales by using the Gompertz model, the Gini coefficient and the spatial econometric model.

The common method for parameter estimation of Gompertz model is the three-sum method, which can be seen in the literature [6]. Jin Kaizheng [7] initially used the polynomial and differential theory, and the least squares method to propose a better fitting method for parameter estimation of Gompertz curve. Zhu Yuren [8] applied the GNL method of the implicit function curve, the coordinates of the Gompertz curve at the inflection point, and the relationship between the derivative and the parameter to perform the least square fitting. The author presented the system parameters, and provided some numerical examples. Yin et al. [9] proposed a nonlinear regression least squares method for parameter estimation of improved Gompertz model based on Gauss-Newton least squares method and multiple linear regression least squares method, and then verified by experimental data.

Based on the Gauss-Newton algorithm, the matrix is required to be a full rank in the iterative process of the numerical model. In this paper, the Levenberg-Marquardt method is used to discuss the modified Gompertz model with constant free terms. Under the nonlinear equations of system parameters are satisfied, the numerical solution of the model parameters is given.

2 Classic Gompertz Model

This section presents a classic Gompertz model proposed by Benjamin Gompertz, a British mathematician and statistician, and the three-sum method to determine model parameters.

The classical Gompertz curve equation is

\[ Y_t = k a^b^t \]

where \( k, a, b \) are unknown arguments, \( k > 0, 0 < a \neq 1, 0 < b \neq 1 \).

To solve the parameters in equation (1), take the logarithm of the two sides of the equation and get:

\[ \ln Y_t = \ln k + b^t \ln a \]  

Let the three local sum of the model be \( S_1, S_2, S_3 \), that is

\[ S_1 = \sum_{t=1}^{n} \ln Y_t \]
\[ S_2 = \sum_{t=m+1}^{n} \ln Y_t \]
\[ S_3 = \sum_{t=n+1}^{n+m} \ln Y_t \]
As a result, the equations can be obtained as follows

\[
\begin{align*}
  b &= \left( \frac{S_3 - S_2}{S_2 - S_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
  a &= \frac{(s_2 - s_1)^{\frac{b-1}{(b^m - 1)}}}{m} \\
  k &= \frac{1}{m} \left( S_1 - \frac{b^m - 1}{b - 1} \right) \ln a
\end{align*}
\]

(4)

Once given the original sequence, three local and values can be obtained, and then through (4) the expression of the model parameters can be obtained. Further, substituting obtained values into equation (1) to predict.

In the process of solving the model parameters, the three-sum method is very cleverly obtained, but such a method can only handle expressions of special structures which is not applicable to the more general model three-sum method. To this end, this paper combines the multivariate function to find the extremum, and the nonlinear least squares theory and other theories to solve the general model parameters.

3 Modified Gompertz Model

3.1 A modified Gompertz model based on non-linear least squares method

Firstly, the constant free term is introduced into the classical Gompertz model, and the modified Gompertz curve model is obtained as follows

\[ Y_i = k a^{b^i} + c \]

(5)

where \( k, \ a, \ b \) are unknown parameters, \( k > 0, 0 < a \neq 1, 0 < b \neq 1, c \in \mathbb{R} \).

In fact, if the free term \( c = 0 \), it reduces to the classical Gompertz curve model. However, due to the introduction of the free term, the three-sum method is no longer applicable. For this reason, this paper gives a general method to solve the new model. Let \( \hat{Y}_i \) be the calculated value under the parameters \( k, \ a, \ b, \ c \) are determined, and \( Y_i \) is the given value, so the square sum of error between the two values is provided as follows

\[
H(k, a, b, c) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - k a^{b^i} - c)^2
\]

(6)

where \( n \) represents the number of raw data. From the Eq. (6), the problem of solving \( k, \ a, \ b, \ c \) is transformed into an optimization problem given below.

\[
\min_{k, a, b, c} H(k, a, b, c) = \min_{k, a, b, c} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i - k a^{b^i} - c)^2
\]

(7)
According to the theory of extreme value of multivariate function, the derivation of $H$ about parameters $k, a, b, c$, respectively, are obtained

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial k} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 2a^{\beta_i} c + 2^{\beta_i} k - 2a^{\beta_i} Y_i \right)$$  (8)

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial a} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 2a^{-1+\beta_i} \cdot b'ck + 2a^{-1+2\beta_i} \cdot b'k^2 - 2a^{-1+\beta_i} \cdot b'kY_i \right)$$  (9)

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 2a^{\beta_i} b^{-1-i}ctk \ln a + 2a^{2\beta_i} b^{-1-i}tk^2 \ln a - 2a^{\beta_i} b^{-1-i}tkY_i \ln a \right)$$  (10)

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial c} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( 2c + 2a^{\beta_i} k - 2Y_i \right)$$  (11)

Setting $\frac{\partial H}{\partial k} = 0$, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial a} = 0$, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial b} = 0$, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial c} = 0$. Then the formulae (8)-(11) are converted to the following equations

$$\begin{align*}
    f_1(k,a,b,c) &= 0 \\
    f_2(k,a,b,c) &= 0 \\
    f_3(k,a,b,c) &= 0 \\
    f_4(k,a,b,c) &= 0 \\
\end{align*}$$  (12)

Equation (12) is a nonlinear equation, it is generally impossible to obtain $k, a, b, c$ analytical. The commonly method is used to solve the problem is the Gaussian Newton algorithm, but this algorithm in the iterative process requires the matrix is full rank, and this condition limits its application. In order to overcome this difficulty, we use the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm to solve the formula (12). Thence the equation (12) is transformed into an equivalent form

$$\min_{x \in k} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \| f(x) \|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i^2(x), x = (k,a,b,c)$$  (13)

Its search direction

$$d_k = -\left( J_k^T \cdot J_k + u_k I \right)^{-1} J_k^T f_k$$  (14)

where $J_k = f'(x) = [f_1'(x), f_2'(x), f_3'(x), f_4'(x)]$

The gradient of the formula (13) is given by

$$g(x) \triangleq \nabla f(x) = \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} \| f(x) \|^2 \right) = J^T(x) f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} f_i(x) \nabla f_i(x)$$  (15)
3.2 Model error testing criteria

In order to test the prediction accuracy of the modified Gompertz model, the absolute percent error (APE) and mean absolute percent error (MAPE) are used in this paper. They are defined as follows:

\[
APE(k) = \left| \frac{Y_i - \hat{Y}_i}{Y_i} \right| \times 100\%, \quad k = 2, 3, ..., n
\]

(16)

\[
MAPE = \frac{1}{m-l+1} \sum_{k=l}^{m} \left| \frac{Y_i - \hat{Y}_i}{Y_i} \right| \times 100\%, \quad m \leq n
\]

(17)

3.3 Modelling steps

In order to demonstrate more clearly the specific solution process for the modified Gompertz model, the following steps are given.

Step 1

Given \( \rho, \sigma \in (0,1) \) and \( u_0 > 0, x_0 \in R^4 \), and let \( k = 0 \);

Step 2

If \( g(x_k) = 0 \), stop the calculation, otherwise, turn to step 3;

Step 3

Solve equations \( (J_k^T J_k + u_k I) d_k = -J_k^T f_k \), get \( d_k \);

Step 4

Let \( m_k \) is the least nonnegative integers \( m \) satisfying the following inequalities

\[
f \left( x_k + \rho^m d_k \right) \leq f_k + \sigma \rho^m g_k^T d_k
\]

Step 5

Let \( \alpha_k = \rho^{m_k} \);

Set to \( x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k, k = k + 1 \), go to step 2.

4 Numerical Example

In this paper, the classical Gompertz model and the modified Gompertz model are used to verify the accuracy. Assuming original sequences is \( Y_i = (15.4490, 15.9636, 16.5700, 17.2892, 18.1478, 19.1809, \)
20.4346, 21.9709, 23.8737, 26.2593, 29.2908, 33.2022, 38.3354, 45.2015, 54.5838). The first 12 data are used to construct the models, and the left 3 data are checked. All the calculations are done by the Matlab software.

**Classic Gompertz model**

\[ Y_t = 1.4168 \times 1.2515^{1.1443t} \]

**Modified Gompertz model**

\[ Y_t = 7.2574 \times 1.5041^{1.1077t} + 3.8096 \]

The calculated numerical results are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. Results by classic gompertz model and modified gompertz model](image)

### Table 1. Numerical results obtained by classical Gompertz model and modified Gompertz model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordina</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Classical Gompertz</th>
<th>Modified Gompertz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.4490</td>
<td>1.8314</td>
<td>15.2155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.9636</td>
<td>1.9005</td>
<td>15.7844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.5700</td>
<td>1.9828</td>
<td>16.4477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.2892</td>
<td>2.0814</td>
<td>17.2254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>18.1478</td>
<td>2.2002</td>
<td>18.1428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.1809</td>
<td>2.3445</td>
<td>19.2324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20.4346</td>
<td>2.5212</td>
<td>20.5362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.9709</td>
<td>2.7399</td>
<td>22.1095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>23.8737</td>
<td>3.0135</td>
<td>24.0254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results show that the modified Gompertz has a higher accuracy than the classical Gompertz, and our generalization and calculation process is feasible.
5 Forecasting the Consumption Level of Rural Residents in China

5.1 Data sources

In order to verify the practicability of the above methods and models, this paper selects the consumption level of Chinese rural residents as an application. The raw data come from the China Statistical Yearbook 2017 [10] which given in Table 2.

Table 2. Raw data of the consumption level of rural residents in China from 1998 to 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Consumption level of Rural residents in China/yuan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>1778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>1793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>4941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Prediction results

With the help of the Matlab software, we choose the first 13 data from 1998 to 2010 to develop the models, and the left data from 2011 to 2014 to test. The computational results of the classical Gompertz model and the modified Gompertz model are tabulated in Table 3 and Fig. 2. From the results, two models have been successfully caught the trend of the consumption level of Chinese rural residents, and they can reflect the trend of consumption level of Chinese rural residents from 2011 to 2014. However, the predicted value of the modified Gompertz model is closer to the real value than the classical Gompertz model.

Table 3. Prediction values of consumption levels of rural residents in China from 2011 to 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Actual value/Yuan</th>
<th>Classical Gompertz</th>
<th>Modified Gompertz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>6187</td>
<td>5438.8945</td>
<td>5711.9054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>6964</td>
<td>6316.2939</td>
<td>6589.3048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7773</td>
<td>7394.8612</td>
<td>7667.8721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>8711</td>
<td>8731.8057</td>
<td>9004.8166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Relative error of Chinese rural residents’ consumption level in 2011-2014 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Classical Gompertz</th>
<th>Modified Gompertz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12.091</td>
<td>7.6789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>9.3007</td>
<td>5.3804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4.8647</td>
<td>1.3524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>0.2388</td>
<td>3.3729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAEP</td>
<td>9.8537</td>
<td>2.7821</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Precision comparison

In order to compare the prediction accuracy between the classical Gompertz model and the modified Gompertz model, the Absolute Percentage Error (APE) and Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE) of the two models are calculated by the Eqs. (16) and (17). The results shown in Table 4. In addition, Fig. 3 shows a comparison of the relative errors between the two models.
From Table 3 and Fig. 3, we can see that the MAPA of the classical Gompertz model is 9.853737%, while the MAAP of the modified Gompertz model is 2.7821%. Therefore, results show that the modified Gompertz model is more accurate than the classical Gompertz model to forecast the consumption level of Chinese rural residents.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, a modified Gompertz model is proposed based on the classic Gompertz model, the multi-function’s extremum, the method of nonlinear least squares, and the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. We
have given a general methodology to determine the system parameters. In future, the specific application of
the new model will be among our research topics.
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