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Abstract

Copulas are mathematical objects that fully capture the dependence structure among random variables and thus offer a great deal of flexibility in building multivariate stochastic models. They have widely use in, credit models, risk aggregation, portfolio selection, insurance, and reliability theory. This study will discuss the relationship among a few copulas.
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1 Introduction

Copulas are one-dimensional marginal distribution functions that combine or "couple" multivariate distribution functions. Furthermore, Copulas are multivariate distribution functions with uniform one-dimensional margins on the interval (0,1) [1,2]. Most of statisticians are interested in copulas for two reasons, according to the first update volume of the Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, "the first is measures of dependence; and the second is considering a starting point for constructing families of bivariate distributions, sometimes there is a kind of

*Corresponding author: Email: mmezzat@science.tanta.edu.eg;
simulation” (0, 1). Skaler theorem published that the first version of copula in 1959. Here we will be presenting a variety of copulas such as, Joe copula, Extreme value copula, Clayton copula, Cuadras and Augé’s copula, Frank copula, Gumbel-Hougaard copula, Farlie-Gumbel-Morgensten (FGM) copula, plackett copula, polynomial copula, and Archimedean copula [3-6].

Copulas are a useful tool for understanding relationships among multivariate variables, and describing the dependence structure among random variables [7-9]. We will discuss the definition of copula and their features in addition, some of kinds of copulas in particular their relationship with some acertain other copulas.

We aim in the end of this paper to answer the question of what the definition of copula, and it is properties? When the mentioned copula in this work appear and the kendall tau and spearman rho for each copula if it is exist? Finally mention the relation among copulas here?

2 Copula Definitions and Basic Properties

A collection of random variables \( (y_1, ..., y_m) \) joint distribution is defined as

\[
F(y_1, ..., y_m) = \Pr[Y_i \leq y_i; i = 1, ..., m]
\]

For a right continuous function to be bivariate cdf, the following conditions must be met.

\[
1 - \lim_{y_j \to -\infty} F(y_1, y_2) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2
\]

\[
2 - \lim_{y_j \to \infty} F(y_1, y_2) = 1
\]

3- For all \((a_1, a_2)\) and \((b_1, b_2)\), the rectangular inequality \((b_1, b_2)\), \(a_1 \leq b_1, a_2 \leq b_2\)

\[
F(b_1, b_2) - F(a_1, b_2) - F(b_1, a_2) + F(a_1, a_2) \geq 0.
\]

If \(F\) has second derivatives, then the 2-increasing property is identical to If \(F\) has second derivatives \(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \geq 0\), then the 2-increasing property is equivalent to

The features of the multivariate cdf \(F(y_1, ..., y_m)\) are as follows:

\[
1- \lim_{y_j \to -\infty} F(y_1, ..., y_m) = 0, \quad j = 1, ..., m
\]

\[
2- \lim_{y_j \to \infty} F(y_1, ..., y_m) = 1 \quad \forall j
\]

Consider any \(m\)-variate joint cdf \(F(y_1, ..., y_m)\) with univariate marginal cdfs \(F_1, ..., F_m\). Each marginal distribution, by definition, can take any value between 0 and 1. The Fréchet–Hoeffding lower and upper boundaries, \(F_L\) and \(F_U\), define the combined cdf from below and above.

\[
F_L(y_1, ..., y_m) = \max \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j - m + 1, 0 \right] = W
\]

\[
F_U(y_1, ..., y_m) = \min[F_1, ..., F_m] = M
\]
so that
\[ W = \max \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j - m + 1, 0 \right] \leq F(y_1, ..., y_m) \leq \min[F_1, ..., F_m] = M \]
for \( m = 2 \), the upper bound is always a cdf, whereas the lower bound is also a cdf. According to Sklar's Theorem, an \( m \)-dimensional copula (or \( m \)-copula) is a function \( C \) from the unit \( m \)-cube \([0,1]^m\) to the unit interval \([0,1]\) that satisfied the following properties:

1. for every \( n \leq m \) and all \( a_n \in [0,1] \) then \( C(1, ..., 1, a_n, 1, ..., 1) = a_n \);
2. if \( a_n = 0 \) for any \( n \leq m \) then \( C(a_1, ..., a_m) = 0 \);
3. \( C \) is \( m \)-increasing.

An \( m \)-dimensional cdf whose support is contained in \([0,1]^m\) and whose one-dimensional margins are uniform on \([0,1]\) can be defined as an \( m \)-copula.

The copula is associated with \( F \) is a distribution function for an \( m \)-variate

\[ F(y_1, ..., y_m) = C(F_1(y_1), ..., F_m(y_m), \theta) \tag{1} \]

The dependence parameter \( \theta \) is the major focus of estimation in many applications, which is a copula parameter known as the dependence parameter \( \theta \), which measures marginal dependence as a scaler measure of dependence.

The matching copula in (1) is unique if the margins \( F_1(Y_1), ..., F_m(Y_m) \) are continuous; the uniqueness property is generally seen as a dependent function. Fréchet–Hoeffding bounds also apply to copulas when they are multivariate distribution functions; hence, the Fréchet–Hoeffding bounds as universal bounds for copulas, for any copula \( C \) and all \( u, v \in [0,1]^m \),

\[ W = \max \left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} F_j - m + 1, 0 \right) \leq C(y_1, ..., y_m) \leq \min(F_1, ..., F_m) = M \]

Sklar's theorem states that if \( X \) and \( Y \) are two random variables with the same joint distribution function \( H \) and margins \( F \) and \( G \), then for any \( x, y \) in,

\[ \max(F(x) + G(y) - 1, 0) \leq H(x, y) \leq \min(F(x), G(y)) \]

The Fréchet-Hoeffding bounds for joint distribution functions \( H \) with margins \( F \) and \( G \) are joint distribution functions with margins \( F \) and \( G \). The upper bound is a copula since it is a distribution function. As a result, the upper bound is abbreviated as \( C_U(y_1, ..., y_m) \). \( C_L(y_1, ..., y_m) \) denotes the lower bound if it is also a copula.

\[ C_L(y_1, ..., y_m) \leq C(y_1, ..., y_m) \leq C_U(y_1, ..., y_m) \tag{2} \]

A copula's appealing merit includes the sample space between the lower and the higher bounds, and \( \theta \), the copula should approach the Fréchet–Hoeffding lower (upper) bound as it approaches the lower (upper) bound of its acceptable range.

### 3 Copulas for Survival

The survival function determines the likelihood of an individual existing or surviving beyond time \( x \). (or survivor function, or reliability function)
\[ \overline{F}(x) = P(X > x) = 1 - F(x) \]  

(3)

\( x \)'s distribution function is denoted by \( F \).

The margins of \((X, Y)\) are the functions \( H \) and the joint survival function is

\[ \overline{H}(x, y) = P(X > x, Y > y), \]

Where \( \overline{H}(x, -\infty) \) and \( \overline{H}(-\infty, y) \) are considered margins of \( \overline{H} \) which involving variate survival functions \( \overline{F} \) and \( \overline{G} \), respectively, as a result

\[ \overline{H}(x, y) = 1 - F(x) - G(y) + H(x, y) \]

\[ = \overline{F}(x) + \overline{G}(y) - 1 + C(F(x), G(y)) \]

\[ = \overline{F}(x) + \overline{G}(y) - 1 + C(1 - \overline{F}(x), 1 - \overline{G}(y)) \]

a function \( \overline{C} \) from \( I^2 = [0,1]^2 \) into \( I = [0,1] \)

\[ \overline{C}(u, v) = u + v - 1 + C(1 - u, 1 - v) \]

(4)

As a result \( \overline{H}(x, y) = \overline{C}(\overline{F}(x), \overline{G}(y)) \), the surviving joint survival function is connected to its univariate margins in a similar way that a copula relates the joint distribution function to its margins.

\[ \overline{C}(u, v) = P[U > u, V > v] = 1 - u - v + C(u, v) = \overline{C}(1 - u, 1 - v) \]

(5)

4 Some copulas have specific properties.

4.1 Copulas Harmonics

If \( C \) fulfils Laplace's equation in \((0,1)^2\) and we have continuous second-order partial derivatives on \((0,1)^2\), we get a copula called harmonic in \( \overline{F} \).

\[ \nabla^2 C(u, v) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} C(u, v) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} C(u, v) = 0 \]

(6)

It is undeniably harmonious. \( \Pi \) is the sole harmonic copula, because any other harmonic copula \( C, C - \Pi \) would be harmonic and equal to 0 on the boundary of \( \overline{F} \), and hence equal to 0 on all of \( \overline{F} \). Subharmonic and superharmonic copulas are closely related concepts. A copula \( C \) is subharmonic if \( \nabla^2 C(u, v) \geq 0 \) and superharmonic if \( \nabla^2 C(u, v) \geq 0 \) (for instance, the FGM copula).

\[ C(u, v) = uv + \theta uv(1 - u)(1 - v) \]

(7)

Then \( C_\theta \) is subharmonic on \( \theta \in [-1,0] \) and superharmonic for \( \theta \in [0,1] \), respectively.

4.2 Homogeneous Copula

If some real number \( k \geq 0 \) and all \( u, v \) and \( \lambda \) in \( I \), a copula \( C \) is homogeneous of degree \( k \).
The word "quasi-homogeneity" refers to an extension of homogeneity that is defined as follows: A function $F$ is said to be quasi-homogeneous if, for any $u, v,$

$$\lambda \in [0, 1], F(\lambda x, \lambda y) = \varphi^{-1}(f(\lambda \varphi(F(u, v))))$$

for a continuous, strictly monotonic function $\varphi$ from $[0, 1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ and a function $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Quasi-homogeneous $t$-norms are characterized by T. Calvo et al. and R. Mesiar et al. [10] and in terms of copulas in, where it is proved that the only homogeneous copula is the member $C_\theta$ of the Cuadras–Augé family with $\theta = 2 - k$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2$.

### 4.3 F-G-M Copula

The F-G-M copula is the only one that has a functional polynomial quadratic in $u$ and $v$, and it is a symmetric copula that is equal to distributed continuous random variables and exchangeability. Kotz and Johnson [11] discovered the formula for the distribution function, which is given by

$$C(u, v) = uv[1 + \alpha(1 - u)(1 - v)], \quad \alpha \in [-1, 1]$$

The density function is defined as follows

$$c(u, v) = 1 + \alpha(1 - 2u)(1 - 2v)$$

### 4.4 Iterating the F-G-M Copula

Lin (1987) introduced a method for iterating the F-G-M distribution that began with the survival function $\tilde{C}$, which Kotz and Johnson [11] iterated as

$$\tilde{C}(u, v) = (1 - \alpha)(1 - v)(1 + \alpha uv)$$

by substituting $uv$ for

$$C(u, v) = uv[1 + \alpha(1 - u)(1 - v)], \quad \alpha \in [-1, 1]$$

we've got

$$C(u, v) = uv\left[1 + \alpha(1 - u)(1 - v) + \beta(1 - u)^2(1 - v)^2\right], \quad \alpha \in [-1, 1]$$

The iterated F-G-M distribution was then given form by Zheng Klein [12]:

$$C(u, v) = uv + \sum_j \alpha_j (uv)^{1/2}\left[(1 - u)(1 - v)^{\frac{1}{x_j}}\right)$$

Huang and Kotz [13] extended the F-G-M distribution originally proposed by:

$$C(u, v) = uv\left[1 + \alpha(1 - u^\beta)(1 - v^\beta)\right]$$
Its probability density function is defined as follows:

\[
c(u, v) = 1 + \alpha \left[ 1 - (1 + p)^u \right] \left[ 1 - (1 + p)^v \right] - \left( \max \{1, p^2\} \right)^2 \alpha \leq p^{-1}
\]  

(15)

Iterated F-G-M correlation coefficient

\[
corr(U, V) = \frac{\alpha}{3} + \frac{\beta}{12}
\]  

(16)

Equation for the correlation can be presented as:

\[-3(p + 2)^2 \min \{1, p^2\} \leq \rho \leq \frac{3}{(p + 2)^2}\]

The F-G-M copula's maximum correlation can be raised by increasing parameter \( p \).

4.5 Frank copula

It's an Archimedean copula with a distribution function that's symmetric.

\[
C(u, v) = \log \left[ 1 + \frac{\alpha^u - 1}{(\alpha - 1)} \frac{\alpha^v - 1}{(\alpha - 1)} \right]
\]  

(17)

The probability density function is defined as follows:

\[
c(u, v) = \frac{(\alpha - 1) \log \alpha^{(u+v)}}{(\alpha - 1 + (\alpha'' - 1)(\alpha' - 1))^2}
\]

The Frank generator is supplied by

\[
\varphi_\alpha(t) = -\ln \left[ \frac{\exp(-t\alpha) - 1}{\exp(-\alpha) - 1} \right], \alpha \in (-\infty, \infty) \setminus \{0\}
\]

If it is greater than zero, we have a negative connection, and we term our copula independent copula if it is greater than zero. For \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \) we got a positive association and negative a associations if \( \alpha > 1 \) and if it is zero so our copula is called independent copula when \( \alpha \to 1 \).

For Frank copula, Kendal tau can be written as

\[
\tau = 1 + 4D_1(\alpha^*) - 1/\alpha
\]

\[
= 1 + \frac{4}{\theta}(D_1(\alpha) - 1)
\]

and spearman rho is given by

\[
\rho = 1 + 12[D_2(\alpha^*) - D_1(\alpha^*)] / \alpha^*
\]
where $D_1, D_2$ debye function and $\alpha^k = -\log(-\alpha)$.

The only copula that satisfies $\hat{C}(u, v) = C(u, v)$ is Frank's copula. According to Frank (1979), both copula $C$ and zero are associative, which means that $C[u, (v, w)] = C[(u, v), w]$.

### 4.6 Gumbel Hougaard

Gumbel [14] and Barrent [15] stated the gumble –Barrent copula as

$$C(u, v) = u + v - 1 + (1 - u)(1 - v)\exp[-\varphi \log(1 - u)\log(1 - v)], \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$$

(18)

Independence match to $\varphi = 0$.

Gumbel [14] and Hougaard [16] proposed another copula as

$$C(u, v) = \exp\left\{\left[-\log u\right]^\varphi + \left[-\log v\right]^\varphi\right\} \quad \varphi = 1$$

(19)

in this state independence matches to $\varphi = 1$.

By letting $e^{-x} = -\log u$ and $e^{-y} = -\log v$ replacing in the previous equation, the joint distribution of $X$ and $Y$ may be verified. The type $B$ bivariate extreme value distribution is described by Nelson [17].

$$H(x, y) = e^{-\left[e^{-\theta x} + e^{-\theta y}\right]^\theta}$$

$$C(u, v) = \exp\left\{\left[-\log u\right]^\varphi + \left[-\log v\right]^\varphi\right\} \quad \theta \in [1, \infty)$$

(20)

This family is known as the Gumble Hougaard family, and we achieve positive dependence between variables when $\theta \rightarrow \infty$.

Kendal tau for this family is:

$$\tau = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}$$

there is a relation between $\log U$ and $\log V$ is $1 - \alpha^2$.

### 4.7 Survival Copula

If we have two components failure rate by $\theta \lambda(x)$ and $\theta \lambda(y)$then the joint survival probability $e^{-\theta \Lambda(x) + \Lambda(y)}$, let $\theta$ a stable distribution with Laplace transform $E(e^{-\theta}) = e^{-\lambda^2}$, then the survival copula can be calculated.

$$E\left(e^{-\theta \Lambda(x) + \Lambda(y)}\right) = e^{-[\Lambda(x) + \Lambda(y)]^\theta}$$

Assume that $\lambda(u)$ has wiebull form $\alpha u^{\alpha-1}$ such that
\[ \Lambda(t) = t^\alpha \]

So

\[ \tilde{H}(x, y) = \exp \left[ -\left( \alpha x^\alpha + \beta y^\beta \right) \right], \quad x, y > 0 \]

let \( \gamma = 1/\alpha \)

\[ \tilde{H}(x, y) = \exp \left[ -\left( \alpha x^\alpha + \beta y^\beta \right)^{\gamma} \right], \quad x, y > 0 \]

\[ \tilde{H}(x, y) = C \left( \tilde{F}(x), \tilde{G}(y) \right) \]

Let \( \tilde{F}(x) = e^{-x^{\gamma}}, \tilde{G}(y) = e^{-y^{\gamma}} \), then the survival copula of bivariate exponential exponential distribution is Gumble- Hougaard copula.

### 5 Bivariate Gumble Logistic Distribution

Let's say you have two random variables, \( X \) and \( Y \), with a joint distribution function.

\[ H(x, y) = \left( 1 + e^{-x} + e^{-y} \right)^{-1}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \]

The lack of a parameter in a bivariate logistic distribution \( F(x) = \left( 1 + e^{-x} \right)^{-1} \) and \( G(y) = \left( 1 + e^{-y} \right)^{-1} \) can be addressed in a variety of methods, one of which was proposed by Ali et al. (1978). \( H \) is defined as

\[ H(x, y) = \left( 1 + e^{-x} + e^{-y} + (1 - \theta) e^{-x-y} \right)^{-1}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \theta \in [-1, 1]. \]

The copula can be given as

\[ C_\theta(u, v) = -\frac{uv}{1 - \theta(1-u)(1-v)} \quad (21) \]

when \( \theta = 1 \) we have Gumble's bivariate logistic distribution. This is known as the Ali- Mikhail- Haq copula family Hutchinson and Lai (1990), and it has an Archimedean generator \( \phi(t) = -\ln(t) \).

#### 5.1 Plackett’s Copula

Plackett’s copula is a comprehensive copula developed by Plackett (1965) as a result of his research.

\[ C(u, v) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1 + (\theta - 1)(u + v) - \sqrt{[1 + (\theta - 1)(u + v)]^2 - 4\theta(\theta - 1)uv}}{2(\theta - 1)} & , \quad \theta > 0 \\
\frac{uv}{\theta - 1} & , \quad \theta = 1
\end{cases} \quad (22) \]
its probability density function is as follows:
\[
c(u, v) = \frac{\theta[(\theta - 1)(u - 2uv) + 1]}{\left[1 + \theta(u + v)^2 - 4\theta(\theta - 1)uv\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}.
\]
if the variables \( u \) and \( v \) are independent, then \( \theta = 1 \)
If \( \theta = 0 \), the copula becomes Fréchet lower-Hoeffding bound, if \( \theta \to \infty \) we can get Fréchet Upper-Hoeffding, and \( \theta = 1 \) our copula is considered independent.
Plackett’s copula Spearman rho is given by:
\[
\rho_s = \frac{\theta + 1}{\theta - 1} - \frac{2\theta}{(\theta - 1)^2} \log \theta.
\]
There does not appear to be a known function of Kendal tau.

5.2 Extreme-Value Copulas

Let us name \( C_{\text{max}} \) the copula of component wise maxima \( X_{(i)} = \max X_i \) and \( Y_{(i)} = \max Y_i \) if we have independent and identically distributed pairs of random variables with a common copula \( C \). Theorem 3.3.1 from Nelson [17]
\[
C_{\text{max}}(u, v) = C^a\left(u^{\frac{1}{n}}, v^{\frac{1}{n}}\right), \quad 0 \leq u, v \leq 1,
\]
if there exists a copula \( C \) such that
\[
C_*(u, v) = \lim_{n \to \infty} C^a\left(u^{\frac{1}{n}}, v^{\frac{1}{n}}\right), \quad 0 \leq u, v \leq 1,
\]
then \( C_* \) it is an extreme value copula.

We can get that using a mathematical way.
\[
C_*(u^k, v^k) = C^k_*(u, v), \quad k > 0
\]
Gumble-Hougaard copula
\[
C(u, v) = \exp\left\{-\left[-\log u\right]^k + \left[-\log v\right]^k\right\}^{\frac{1}{k}}
\]
There is no other Archimedean copula that is also an extreme-value copula Genest and Rivest [18].

5.3 Gaussian Copula

Let \( \Phi^{-1}(\cdot) \) indicate the inverse of the distribution function of a standard normal random variable \( \Phi(\cdot) \). The variance-covariance matrix \( \Sigma \) of the Gaussian copula is defined by
\[ C(u_1, \ldots, u_p) = \Phi_{\Sigma}(\Phi^{-1}(u_1), \ldots, \Phi^{-1}(u_p)) \] (25)

where \( \Phi_{\Sigma} \) represents the distribution function of a \( p \)-variate normal random vector with zero means and variance-covariance matrix \( \Sigma \). Fouque and Zhou [19] provide a perturbed version of Gaussian copula.

Assuming that \( \phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right) \) is the standard normal density, one can readily calculate

\[ f(x, y) = \phi(x)\phi(y)(1 + \sin x \sin y) \]

A bivariate probability density is formed. The copula of this distribution is easy to get, as seen below.

\[ C_\phi(u, v) = uv + \int_0^1 \sin(\Phi^{-1}(t))dt \int_0^1 \sin(\Phi^{-1}(t))dt, \] (26)

where the right continuous inverse \( \Phi^{-1}(t) = \sup\{x : \Phi(x) \leq t\} \) of \( t \in [0,1] \) and is the standard normal distribution function \( \Phi \). Naturally, one would worry if the resulting bivariate function is valid.

\[ C_\Psi(u, v) = uv + \int_0^1 \sin(\Psi^{-1}(t))dt \int_0^1 \sin(\Psi^{-1}(t))dt, \text{ for } (u, v) \in [0,1]^2, \] (27)

If and only if \( C_\Psi \) in (28) is a copula

\[ \int_0^1 \sin(\Psi^{-1}(t))dt = 0 \] (28)

Denote \( X \) one random variable with distribution function \( \Psi \), as well as the copula fully specified in (28) as a sine copula with generator. Then, the equivalence (29) is equivalent to \( E[\sin(X)] = 0 \).

Assume you have a set of random variables \( X \)'s with a lattice distribution \( \{k\pi : k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots\} \)

\[ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} P(X = k\pi) = 1. \]

and as a result

\[ \sin(\Psi^{-1}(t)) = 0 \]

\[ C_\Psi(u, v) = uv = C_J(u, v), \text{ for any } (u, v) \in (0,1]^2 \]

Namely, \( C_J \) is a sine copula.

The normal distribution with expectation \( n\pi \) and the student distribution both meet (29), and they function as copula generators in (28).
5.4 The sine Copula's Dependence Indices

Whatever the generator $\Psi$ is, the upper tail dependence coefficient $\lambda_u = 0$ and the lower tail dependence coefficient $\lambda_l = 0$ for every random vector with copula (28).

For each random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ with copula (28), any pair of random variables $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ with sine copula (28) is asymptotically independent (28),

$$\rho(X_1, X_2) = \frac{3}{2} \tau(X_1, X_2)$$

since

$$\tau(X_1, X_2) = 8 \left[ \int_0^1 u \sin(\Psi^{-1}(u)) du \right]^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

A sine copula's Kendall's is always bounded below $2^{-1}$. This also aids in determining whether the sine copula is appropriate for a given data set in practice, in fact, when

$$\Psi^{-1}(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{-\pi}{2}, & u \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \\ \frac{\pi}{2}, & u \in \left[ 0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \end{cases}$$

(19)

Remember that the normal or Gaussian copula $C_\alpha = H_\alpha(\Phi^{-1}(u), \Phi^{-1}(v))$, $\tau$ archives the maximum $2^{-1}$.

The $\alpha$ and $\Phi$ is the distribution function of $N(0,1)$ By Denuit et al. (2005), and (Li, 2000), the normal copula $C_\alpha$ has the Kendall's $\tau$ and Spearman's $\rho$ as

$$\tau = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \alpha, \quad \rho = \frac{6}{\pi} \arcsin \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

where $H_\alpha$ is the standard bivariate normal distribution function with correlation

The normal copula is not in the family of sine copulas.

It is evident that $\frac{\rho}{\tau} = \frac{2 \arcsin \left( \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)}{\arcsin \alpha} \neq \frac{3}{2}$. So, the normal copula is not a sine copula.

5.5 Gaussian (Normal) Copula

The normal copula is flexible in that it allows for equal degrees of positive and negative dependence, as well as both Fréchet bounds. The usual copula looks like this:
where \( \Phi \) is the standard normal distribution's CDF, and \( \Phi_G(u_1,u_2) \) is the standard bivariate normal distribution with the correlation parameter constrained to the interval \((-1,1)\).

Any type of non-linear dependence between the elements of \( y \) is ruled out by the Gaussian copula. More flexible copulas that nest the normal copula as a specific example, which are derived from a multivariate distribution that nests the multivariate normal distribution, have been examined by empirical researchers.

### 5.6 Student t copulas

The Student t distribution generalizes the multivariate normal distribution by adding a single more parameter, the degrees of freedom \( v \). Let \( t_v^{-1}(\cdot) \) indicate the inverse of the distribution function \( t_v(\cdot) \) of a Student's t random variable with degree of freedom \( v \). Let \( G_v^{-1}(\cdot) \) denote the inverse distribution function of and let \( G_v(\cdot) \) indicate the distribution function of \( \sqrt{\frac{v}{\chi^2_v}} \).

Let

\[ z_i(u_i, s) = t_v^{-1}(u_i) / G_v^{-1}(s) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, p. \]

The t copula with degrees of freedom and variance-covariance matrix has been defined by Luo and Shevchenko [20] as

Luo and Shevchenko [20] have defined the t copula with degrees of freedom \( (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p) \) and variance-covariance matrix \( \Sigma \) as

\[
C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p) = \int_0^1 \Phi_{\Sigma}(z_1(u_1, s), \ldots, z_p(u_1, s)) \, ds.
\]

Copulas of many multivariate t distributions are special examples of where \( \Phi_{\Sigma} \) indicates the distribution function of a \( p \)-variate normal random vector with zero means and variance-covariance matrix \( \Sigma \) (32).

In \( d \) dimensions, the typical \( t \)-copula reads

\[
C_t(u; \mathcal{R}, v) = t_{\mathcal{R}, v}^{-1}(u_1, \ldots, t_{\mathcal{R}, v}(u_d))
\]

where the correlation matrix \( \mathcal{R} \) parametrizes the multivariate standard Student-\( t \) distribution \( t_{\mathcal{R}, v} \), and \( v \) if its density is given by

\[
f(x) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{v + d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{v}{2}\right)\sqrt{(\pi v)^d|\Sigma|}} \left(1 + \frac{(x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)}{v}\right)^{-\frac{v+d}{2}}
\]
The covariance matrix is not equal to $\Sigma$ and is only defined if $\nu > 2$; the multivariate $t$ belongs to the class of multivariate normal variance mixtures $X = \mu + \sqrt{WZ}$, and has the representation in this standard parameterization $\text{cov}(X) = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2} \Sigma$.

where $W$ is independent of $Z$ and $Z \approx N_{\nu}(0, \Sigma)$ satisfies $\nu/W \approx \chi^2_{\nu}$; equivalently $W$ has an inverse gamma distribution $W \approx I_{\nu}(\nu/2, \nu/2)$ by Kelker [21]. This indicates that the copula of a $t_{d}(\nu, \mu, \Sigma)$ is the same as the copula of $t_{d}(\nu, 0, P)$ distribution, where $P$ is the dispersion matrix $\Sigma$ inferred correlation matrix. As a result, the copula is unique.

The copula is unique and given by

$$C_{v, p}(u) = \int_{-\infty}^{c_{1}(u_{1})} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{c_{d}(u_{d})} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu + d}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\sqrt{(\pi \nu)^{d/2}}|P|} \left(1 + \frac{X'P^{-1}X}{\nu}\right)^{\nu+d/2} dx$$

where $c_{i}^{-1}$ signifies a standard univariate $t_{d}$ distribution's quantile function. Using the normal mixture, we create a multivariate $t$-distributed $X \approx t_{d}(\nu, 0, P)$ with the form

$$c_{v, p}(u) = \frac{f_{v, p}\left(t_{i}^{-1}(u_{i}), \ldots, t_{d}^{-1}(u_{d})\right)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d} f_{v}\left(t^{-1}(u_{i})\right)}, \quad u \in (0, 1)^{d},$$

where $f_{v}$ is the density of the univariate standard $t$-distribution with $\nu$ degrees of freedom and $f_{v, p}$ is the joint density of a $t_{d}(\nu, 0, P)$ distributed random vector.

A multivariate $t$ distribution with degrees of freedom exists when a random vector $X$ has the $t$ copula $c_{v, p}$ and univariate $t$ margins with the same degree of freedom parameter $\nu$. If we use the $t$ copula to combine any other set of univariate distribution functions, we get multivariate dfs $F$, which are referred to as meta-$t_{d}$ distribution functions; see Embrechts et al. [22] or Fang & Fang [23].

5.7 Kendall’s Rank Correlation

The measure of Kendall’s Rank Correlation is determined as follows:

$$\rho_{\tau}(X_{1}, X_{2}) = E\left(\text{sign}(X_{1} - \tilde{X}_{1})(X_{2} - \tilde{X}_{2})\right)$$

The Kendall’s tau rank correlation $\rho_{\tau}$ depends on the copula $C$; Kendall’s tau takes the same elegant form for the Gauss copula $C_{Ga}$, the $t$ copula $C_{v, p}$, or the copula of essentially all relevant distributions in the elliptical class, this form being

$$\rho_{\tau}(X_{1}, X_{2}) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arcsin \rho$$
The two measures \( \lambda_u \) and \( \lambda_l \) coincide for the copula of an elliptically symmetric distribution like the \( t \), and are denoted simply by \( \lambda \) for the \( t \) copula it is positive; Embrechts et al. [23] calculated a simple formula, and the coefficient of tail dependence for continuously distributed random variables with the \( t \) copula \( C^t_{\lambda,\rho} \) is given by

\[
\lambda = 2t_{\nu+1}\left(-\sqrt{\nu+1} \frac{1-\rho}{\sqrt{1+\rho}}\right)
\]

where \( P \) has an off-diagonal element \( \rho \).

5.8 Marshal and Olkin

Marshal and Olkin [24] were the first to introduce it, and it was defined as

\[
C(u, v) = \begin{cases} 
  u^{1-\alpha} v^{\alpha} & \text{if } u^{1-\alpha} \geq v^\beta, \\
  uv^{1-\beta} & \text{if } u^{1-\alpha} < v^\beta, 
\end{cases} \quad \alpha \geq 0, \beta 
\]

Complete independence match \( \alpha = \beta = 1 \), independency match \( \alpha = \beta = 0 \), independency. It's possible to write it in a different formula.

\[
C(u, v) = \min\left(u^{1-\alpha}, uv^{1-\beta}\right)
\]

Marshal and Olkin is the surname of this family.

5.9 Generalized Marshal and Olkin Copula

Consider a two-component system having a CPU (central processing unit) and a co-processor, such as a two-component desktop computer. Shocks are applied to the components, which are always "fatal" to one or both of them. One of the two aeroplane engines, for example, could fail, or a large explosion could destroy both engines at the same time; or the CPU or co-processor could fail, or a power surge could destroy both at the same time. The lives of components 1 and 2 are denoted by \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively. We shall locate the survival function, as is commonly the case when dealing with lifetimes.

\[
\tilde{H}(x, y) = P[X > x, Y > y]
\]

Component 1's likelihood of surviving beyond time \( x \) and component 2's probability of surviving beyond time \( y \). The shocks to the two components are considered to generate three separate poission processes, each with positive parameters \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \), depending on whether the shock kills only component 1, only component 2, or both components at the same time. These three shocks' timing and frequency \( Z_1, Z_2 \) and \( Z_3 \) of occurrence are independent exponential random variables with parameters \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) and \( \lambda_3 \), respectively. As a result,

\[
X = \min(Z_1, Z_{12}), Y = \min(Z_2, Z_{12})\text{ and hence for all } x, y \geq 0
\]

\[
\tilde{H}(x, y) = P[Z_1 > x]P[Z_2 > y]P[Z_{12} > \max(x, y)]
\]

\[
= \exp\left[-\lambda_1 x - \lambda_2 y - \lambda_{12} \max(x, y)\right]
\]
The functions of marginal survival are

\[ \tilde{F}(x) = \exp[-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 x)] \] and \[ \tilde{G}(x) = \exp[-(\lambda_2 + \lambda_1 y)]; \]
as a result, \( X \) and \( Y \) are exponential random variables with parameters \( \lambda_1 + \lambda_{12} \) and \( \lambda_2 + \lambda_{12} \).

Let \( X = (X_1, X_2) \) a bivariate vector of distribution. For independent random \( X = (X_1, X_2) = (\min\{s_1, s_3\}, \min\{s_2, s_3\}) \), lifetimes \( s_i \approx G_i(x) \) with cumulative hazard function \( H_i \), be used to find survival copula.

\[ \tilde{F}(x_1, x_2) = p(X_1 > x_1, X_2 > x_2) \]
\[ = p(S_1 > x_1, S_2 > x_2, S_3 > \max\{x_1, x_2\}) \]
\[ = \tilde{G}_1(x_1)\tilde{G}_2(x_2)\tilde{G}_3(\max\{x_1, x_2\}) \]

when the marginal survival function is used

\[ \tilde{F}_1(x_1) = P(X_1 > x_1) = \tilde{G}_1(x_1)\tilde{G}_3(x_1) \]
\[ = \exp\{H_1(x_1) - H_3(x_1)\} \]
\[ \tilde{F}_2(x_2) = P(X_2 > x_2) = \tilde{G}_2(x_2)\tilde{G}_3(x_2) \]
\[ = \exp\{H_2(x_2) - H_3(x_2)\} \]

where

\[ \tilde{H}_1(x) = H_1(x) + H_3(x) \]
\[ \tilde{H}_2(x) = H_2(x) + H_3(x) \]

Then

\[ \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(u) = \tilde{H}_1^{-1}(-\ln u), \tilde{F}_2^{-1}(v) = \tilde{H}_2^{-1}(-\ln v) \]

The \( X \) surviving copula is called \( \hat{C}_x(u, v) \), and for this reason that \( (u, v) \) we have \( \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(u) > \tilde{F}_2^{-1}(v) \)

\[ \ln \hat{C}_x(u, v) = \ln \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(u) \tilde{F}_2^{-1}(v) \]
\[ = -H_1\left[ \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(u) \right] - H_2\left[ \tilde{F}_2^{-1}(v) \right] - H_3\left[ \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(u) \right] \]
\[ = -\tilde{H}_1\left[ \tilde{F}_1^{-1}(u) \right] - H_2\left[ \tilde{F}_2^{-1}(v) \right] \]
\[ = \ln u - \tilde{H}_3\left[ \tilde{H}_2^{-1}(-\ln v) \right] \]
\[ = \ln u + \ln v + H_3\left[ \tilde{H}_2^{-1}(-\ln v) \right] \]
Similarly, for \((u, v)\) such \(F_1^{-1}(u) \leq F_2^{-1}(v)\), we have

\[
\ln \hat{C}_x(u, v) = \ln u + \ln v + H_3\left(\hat{H}_1^{-1}(-\ln u)\right)
\]

thus

\[
\hat{C}_x(u, v) = \begin{cases}
    u^v \exp\left[H_3\left(\hat{H}_1^{-1}(-\ln u)\right)\right], & \hat{H}_1^{-1}(-\ln u) \leq \hat{H}_2^{-1}(-\ln v) \\
    u^v \exp\left[H_3\left(\hat{H}_2^{-1}(-\ln v)\right)\right], & \hat{H}_1^{-1}(-\ln u) > \hat{H}_2^{-1}(-\ln v)
\end{cases}
\]

The Generalized Marshal Olkin (GMO) survival copula, the tail independence lower tail \(\lambda_\ell = 0\), upper tail \(\lambda_u = \min(\alpha, \beta)\), is any copula that takes the previous form.

### Table 1. Archimedean copulas and their generators and their inverse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family</th>
<th>generator (\phi(t))</th>
<th>copula with two variables (C_\alpha(u, v))</th>
<th>Inverse Generator (Laplace Transform) (\tau(s) = \phi^{-1}(s))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Independence</td>
<td>(-\ln t)</td>
<td>(uv)</td>
<td>(\exp(-s))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayton (1978),</td>
<td>(t^{-\alpha} - 1)</td>
<td>((u^{-\alpha} + v^{-\alpha} - 1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})</td>
<td>((1 + s)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cook-johnson (1981), okas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gumbel [5] hougaard [7]</td>
<td>(-\ln t^\alpha)</td>
<td>(\exp\left{\left(-\ln u\right)^\alpha + \left(-\ln v\right)^\alpha\right})</td>
<td>(\exp(-s^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frank [25]</td>
<td>(-\ln \frac{e^{\alpha t} - 1}{e^\alpha - 1}) (\frac{1}{\alpha}) (\ln\left(1 + \frac{(e^{\alpha u} - 1)(e^{\alpha v} - 1)}{e^\alpha - 1}\right))</td>
<td>(\alpha^{-1} \ln\left</td>
<td>1 + e^\alpha (e^\alpha - 1)\right</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 1. GH distribution](image-url)
6 CONCLUSION

We discussed definition of copula and the boundary conditions and m-increasing property, after that we mention many copulas and notice their kendall tau and spearman rho if there exist. Also we mention every copula in this paper it is relation among other copulas.
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